

Code of Ethics

The journal *Ecosistemas y Recursos Agropecuarios* is committed to complying with and respecting the principles of transparency and good practices set out in the academic publications of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE). The present code of ethics refers to the honesty of the Authors, the Editorial Committee and the Reviewers. In this context, the journal *Ecosistemas y Recursos Agropecuarios* demands: a) from authors, originality and authenticity in their manuscripts; b) from editors and reviewers, transparency and objectivity in the review process; and c) from all those involved, not to engage in scientific fraud.

Editorial committee

- 1.- Promote a policy of transparency in the reception, review, selection and publication of manuscripts received.
- 2.- Give transparent follow-up of the processes set out for the publication of the Journal.
- 3.- Respect freedom of expression and encourage respect for copyright.
- 4.- Do not allow conflicts of interest between Authors and the Editors at any point in the review process.

Editors

- 1.- Continually improve quality standards in the selection of manuscripts, reviewers and the review process, always considering the scientific relevance of the manuscript, its originality, clarity and pertinence.
- 2.- The decision of the editor to accept or reject a manuscript for publication must be based on scientific relevance and originality.
- 3.- Protect the confidentiality of the arbitration process, ensuring the anonymity of the reviewers and authors.
- 4.- Carry out the review process and opinion of the manuscripts and their content without distinction based on race, gender, religious beliefs, ethnic origin, nationality, sexual orientation or political philosophy of the authors.

Authors

- 1.- Write a manuscript without manipulation of data and without the use of fictitious authorship or plagiarism, considering as author(s) the individual(s) who was(were) involved in the research.
- 2.- Send original and unpublished manuscripts, citing the original sources that underpin and sustain their results to recognize the scientific contribution of other authors.
- 3.- Guarantee that their work has not been published or that it is not being considered in another publication. A work is considered as previously published when any of the following situations occurs: 1) When the complete text has been published, 2) When extensive fragments of previously published materials are part of the manuscript sent to the Journal and there are no substantial changes in them, and 3) When the work submitted to the Journal is contained in proceedings published *in extenso*. It is necessary to emphasize that the Journal has the power to reject an article when it detects plagiarism or self-plagiarism, at any point in the review process, or to withdraw it in case it has been published.

- 4.- In the case of the use of experimental animals, authors are requested to adhere to the ARRIVE (Animal Research: Reporting *In Vivo* Experiments) guidelines developed by NC3Rs, whereas in the case of manipulation of wild flora and fauna, as well as in protected natural areas, it is necessary to have the permits of the corresponding authorities.
- 5.- Accurately address the comments, corrections and suggestions made by the reviewers and the editor. In case of not agreeing with the observations, it is necessary to substantiate in detail the reasons why the observations are not accepted.
- 6.- For the publication of the manuscripts, the authors must follow in a timely manner the guidelines described in the Guide for Authors, available on the Journal's website, and respect the times assigned in the review process.
- 7.- Declare potential conflicts of interest related to the subject that is addressed in the manuscript, in addition to having the authorization to use all copyrighted material that has been used in the manuscript to be published. The information contained in each of the articles is the responsibility of the authors.
- 8.- The main author(s) must ensure that all co-authors have reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.
- 9.- If an author is convinced that an incorrect decision has been made regarding his manuscript, Ecosistemas y Recursos Agropecuarios allows him to submit a single appeal. The appeal must be sent with detailed information about the reasons why the initial decision was wrong. The situation will be addressed by the Journal's Editorial Committee. In some cases, the process could involve a new review of the manuscript; the new decision is considered final. It must be taken into account that Appeals take time to be resolved.

Reviewers

- 1.- As experts in the field, they are committed to reviewing and making observations on the manuscript in an objective and impartial manner, with respectful comments and precise arguments that contribute to the improvement of the manuscript; they must also fill out the opinion form in the Journal's OJS system within the established deadlines.
- 2.- They have the obligation to ensure the confidentiality of the reviewed article and not to make use of the information before it is published.
- 3.- The reviewers undertake to report any unethical conduct by the authors and indicate all the information that may be grounds for rejecting the manuscript.
- 4.- The reviewers must not evaluate manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest.